In this **example**, there are 2 BMP's proposed that require infiltration in order to function properly. These two systems are known as Infiltration Basin #1 and Recharge Basin #8.

EXAMPLE Infiltration Feasibility Report

[Project Title] [Project Location] [Date of Report]

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- I. Location of the practice
- II. Existing topography at the location of the practice
- III. Test pit or boring locations
- IV. Seasonal high water table (SHWT) and bedrock elevations
- V. Profile descriptions
- VI. Soil plan in the area of the proposed practice(s)
- VII. Summary of [Default, Field Testing, or Lab Testing] data used to determine the infiltration rate

Example Infiltration Feasibility Report September 8, 2010 Page 2 of 4

The project proposes two systems that require infiltration to function properly. These two systems are identified on the plans as Infiltration Basin #1 and Recharge Basin #8.

I. Location of the practice

Infiltration Basin #1 – this basin is located on the west side of the property, near the back corner of the building.

Recharge Basin #8 – this basin is located on the east side of the property near road station 6+00.

II. Existing topography at the location of the practice

Infiltration Basin #1 - the existing topography within the area of the infiltration basin is relatively flat with a forested cover.

Recharge Basin #8 – the existing topography within the area of the recharge basin is sloped at about 5% with a combination of disturbed soils and paved surfaces.

III. Test pit or boring locations

In accordance with Env-Wq 1504.12(c), NHDES requires that a minimum number of test pits or borings be dug or drilled in the location of the system, depending on the size of the proposed system.

Infiltration Basin #1 - this basin is 8,000 square feet in area and therefore 2 test pits were dug in the location of the proposed practice. These pits are identified as TP#7 and TP#12 and are shown on the attached plan.

Recharge Basin #8 – this basin is 2,000 square feet in area and therefore 1 test pit was dug in the location of the proposed practice. These pits are identified as TP#3 and TP#5 and are shown on the attached plan.

[Insert a grading plan for each of these basins. The grading plan should be CROPPED to show each basin *clearly*. The grading plan should fit on an $8 \frac{1}{2}$ by 11" sheet of paper with the test pit locations labeled.].

IV. Seasonal high water table (SHWT) and bedrock elevations

The following test pit data was collected on April 3, 2010.

Infiltration Basin # 1 – Bottom of Pond Elevation = 145.0'

- TP#7: Existing Surface Elevation of TP = 144.5' SHWT = 141.2' BEDROCK = not found Deepest Elevation of TP = 140.5'
- TP#12: Existing Surface Elevation of TP = 144.5' SHWT = 141.7' BEDROCK = not found Deepest Elevation of TP = 138.5'

Recharge Basin #8 –

Bottom of Pond Elevation = 130.5'

TP#3:	Existing Surface Elevation of $TP = 134.0$ '
	SHWT = 129.5'
	BEDROCK = not found
	Deepest Elevation of $TP = 128.0$ '
TP#5:	Existing Surface Elevation of $TP = 134.5$ '
	SHWT = 129.4'
	BEDROCK = not found
	Deepest Elevation of $TP = 128.5$ '

NOTE: Do <u>not</u> include all test pit information. Include only those test pits needed to design the BMPs identified in the Infiltration Feasibility Report.

V. Profile descriptions

[Insert profile description written in accordance with the descriptive procedures, terminology and interpretations found in the Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils, Version 2.0, USDA, NRCS, 2002]

NOTE: Do <u>not</u> include all test pit descriptions. Include only those descriptions needed to describe the BMPs identified in the Infiltration Feasibility Report.

VI. Soil plan in the area of the proposed practice(s)

[Insert a grading plan for each of these basins. The grading plan should be CROPPED to show each basin *clearly*. The grading plan for each of these basins should be on an $8 \frac{1}{2}$ " by 11" sheet of paper with a clear delineation of soil series from the Site Specific Soils Survey.

VII. Summary of [Default, Field Testing, or Lab Testing] data used to determine the infiltration rate

Infiltration Basin #1 – the infiltration rate was determined using the Default Values method described in Env-Wq 1504.13.

The basin is located within native material identified in the Soil Series survey as <u>Windsor</u> soils.

Using Ksat Values for New Hampshire Soils, Society of Soil Scientist of Northern New England, Special Publication No.5, September 2009, the lowest value under the basin floor elevation is: <u>6 inches per hour</u>.

After applying a factor of safety, the design rate used in the drainage analysis is <u>3 inches</u> <u>per hour</u>.

Recharge Basin #8 – the infiltration rate was determined using the Field Measurement method described in Env-Wq 1504.13.

The Ksat was measured with a Double Ring Infiltrometer.

The average Ksat of the tests was 30 inches per hour.

After applying a factor of safety, the design rate used in the drainage analysis is <u>15 inches</u> <u>per hour</u>.

[attach data sheets to support these findings, which should include at a *minimum*: date of testing, number of test, number of repetitions, field data sheets, and the name of the person who performed the test and his or her qualifications (e.g., professional geologist)].