

Wetlands Bureau Decision Report

Decisions Taken
08/20/2007 to 08/26/2007

DISCLAIMER:

This document is published for information purposes only and does not constitute an authorization to conduct work. Work in jurisdiction may not commence until the applicant has received a posting permit.

Decisions are subject to appeal, and are reviewed by the federal agencies for compliance with Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

APPEAL:

I. Any affected party may ask for reconsideration of a permit decision in accordance with RSA 482-A:10,II within 20 days of the Department's issuance of a decision. Requests for reconsideration should:

- 1) describe in detail each ground for complaint. Only grounds set forth in the request for reconsideration can be considered at subsequent levels of appeal;
- 2) provide new evidence or information to support the requested action;
- 3) Parties other than the applicant, the town, or contiguous abutters must explain why they believe they are affected; and
- 4) Be mailed to the DES Wetlands Bureau, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095.

II. An appeal of a decision of the department after reconsideration may be filed with the Wetlands Council in accordance with RSA 482-A:10, IV within 30 days of the department's decision. Filing of the appeal must:

- 1) be made by certified mail to Brian Fowler, Chairperson, Wetlands Council, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095 (a copy should also be sent to the DES Wetlands Bureau);
- 2) contain a detailed description of the land involved in the department's decision; and
- 3) set forth every ground upon which it is claimed that the department's decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

MAJOR IMPACT PROJECT

2002-01729 BP REALTY TRUST
DERRY Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Request amendment to: impact an additional total of 5,305 sq. ft. of previously disturbed palustrine forested/emergent/scrub-shrub wetland areas to retain three (3) water control outlet structures/ permeable filtration berms with stone armor and to retain, extend and upsize, from 12 in. to 24 in. dia., a culvert crossing the driving range to improve drainage, access and golf course user safety.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

No comments received from the Derry Conservation Commission regarding permit amendment request #2.

No comments received from the Derry Conservation Commission regarding permit amendment request #1.

In a letter received by the DES Wetlands Bureau on January 30, 2003, the Derry Conservation Commission stated that it is willing to be the grantee of the conservation easement on the property.

Inspection Date: 03/31/2006 by Frank D Richardson

Inspection Date: 12/11/2002 by Christian P Williams

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Approve amendment to: impact an additional total of 5,305 sq. ft. of previously disturbed palustrine forested/emergent/scrub-shrub wetland areas to retain three (3) water control outlet structures/ permeable filtration berms with stone armor and to retain, extend and upsize, from 12 in. to 24 in. dia., a culvert crossing the driving range to improve drainage, access and golf course user safety.

New total area of fill in wetlands for this project is 23,119 sq. ft.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with the following plans by SFC Engineering Partnership Inc.:
 - a. The Existing Conditions Plan (Sheet 2 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised November 14, 2002, as received by the Department on June 19, 2003;
 - b. The Wetland Impact Plan (Sheet 3 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised September 23, 2003, as received by the Department on September 24, 2003;
 - c. The Site Grading Plans (Sheets 5-7 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised September 23, 2003, as received by the Department on September 24, 2003;
 - d. The Grading and Erosion Control Details (Sheet 8 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised November 12, 2002, as received by the Department on June 19, 2003;
 - e. The Wetland Compensation Plan Overview (Sheet 9 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised September 23, 2003, as received by the Department on September 24, 2003; and
 - f. The Mitigation Plans (Sheets 10-14 of 14) dated June 17, 2002 and revised January 14, 2003, as received by the Department on June 19, 2003.
 - g. All work shall also be in accordance with the Temporary Wetland Crossing Plan (Sheet 14A) by SFC Engineering Partnership Inc. dated June 16, 2003 as received by the Department on June 19, 2003.
 - h. Approved amendment request to fill an additional total of 5,305 sq. ft. of previously disturbed palustrine forested/emergent/scrub-shrub wetland areas to retain three (3) water control outlet structures/ permeable filtration berms with stone armor and to retain, extend and upsize, from 12 in. to 24 in. dia., a culvert crossing the driving range to improve drainage, access and golf course user safety shall be in accordance with narrative by NHSC, Inc. dated November 17, 2006 and accompanying plans by SFC Engineering Partnership, Inc. dated 10.12/2006 both being received by the DES on November 24, 2006.
2. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
 3. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Site Specific Program.
 4. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
 5. The DES Wetlands Bureau shall be notified in writing at least 48 hours prior to the commencement of construction.
 6. The guidelines presented in the Maintenance Plan by Sterling Golf Management, Inc. dated February 27, 2002, as received by

- the Department on July 26, 2002, shall be strictly adhered to.
7. Golf cart bridges constructed over wetlands shall be elevated at least 3 feet above the wetland surface so that no area under the bridge shall be completely shaded from the sun.
 8. The decking of all golf cart bridges constructed over wetlands shall be of slatted construction to allow for circulation of air and water, and allow for penetration of light.
 9. Future cutting or pruning of trees and shrubs in wetlands to maintain or improve fairway site distance shall be conducted by hand or by machinery working from golf cart bridges where practicable. In wetlands where cutting or pruning of trees and shrubs by hand or by machinery working from golf cart bridges is not practicable, work shall be conducted during frozen conditions to prevent rutting in the wetlands.
 10. Immediately following completion of construction all matting and fill shall be completely removed from the temporary wetland impact areas.
 11. Temporary wetland impact areas shall be regraded to original contours, seeded with a wetland seed mix and stabilized immediately following completion of construction.
 12. Work in previously disturbed emergent and forested wetlands to remove purple loosestrife and stabilize eroding slopes shall be overseen by a certified wetland scientist.
 13. Work shall be conducted during low flow.
 14. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction to prevent accidental encroachment on wetlands.
 15. Appropriate erosion and siltation controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
 16. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate dredge area, and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and the water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
 17. Dredged material shall be placed out of any area that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
 18. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
 19. Stone aprons shall be installed at culvert outlets to dissipate flow velocities and reduce scour and erosion.
 20. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
 21. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
 22. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
 23. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.
 24. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
 25. This permit is contingent upon the execution of a conservation easement on approximately 13.46 acres (584,403 square feet), as depicted on the Wetland Compensation Plan Overview dated June 17, 2002 and revised November 12, 2002, as received by the Department on January 21, 2003.
 26. Draft conservation easement language shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau and Derry Conservation Commission for review and approval prior to the commencement of construction.
 27. The plan noting the conservation easement with a copy of the final easement language shall be recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office for each appropriate lot. A copy of the recording from the County Registry of Deeds Office shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to the commencement of construction.
 28. The conservation easement to be placed on the preservation area shall be written to run with the land, and both existing and future property owners shall be subject to this easement.
 29. The conservation easement area shall be surveyed by a licensed surveyor, and marked by monuments [stakes] prior to the commencement of construction.
 30. Signs to indicate the location of and restrictions on the area shall be posted every 150 feet along the boundary of the conservation area prior to the commencement of construction.
 31. There shall be no removal of the existing vegetative undergrowth within the easement area and the placement of fill, construction of structures, and storage of vehicles or hazardous materials is prohibited.
 32. Activities in contravention of the conservation easement shall be construed as a violation of RSA 482-A, and those activities shall be subject to the enforcement powers of the Department of Environmental Services.

With Findings:

1. The applicant has sufficiently demonstrated that the request to amend the permit to include 5,305 square feet of additional permanent wetland impacts to previously disturbed palustrine forested/emergent/scrub-shrub wetland areas to retain three (3) water control outlet structures/ permeable filtration berms and to retain, extend and upsize from 12 in. to 24 in. dia. a drainage culvert crossing the driving range is necessary and does not significantly impact natural wetlands in the environs.
2. Deficiencies previously cited for this project have been corrected and resolved with the DES Wetlands Bureau Compliance Section.
3. The compensatory mitigation including a 13.46 acre conservation easement and a wetlands restoration component previously approved for this project adequately compensates for the additional 5,305 sq. ft. of wetland impacts approved by this amendment.

**2006-00273 MITCHELL, ALFRED
LACONIA Lake Winnepesaukee**

Requested Action:

Applicant requests to amend permit to relocate the proposed docking facility approximately 70 ft north of the originally proposed location.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com suggests seasonal dock.

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Amend permit to read: Permanently remove two existing seasonal docks and construct three 4 ft by 30 ft piling piers connected by a 6 ft x 33 ft walkway in a "W" configuration accessed by a 6 ft by 25 ft piling supported walkway, install three 3 piling ice clusters, four tie off piles, two 14 ft by 30 ft seasonal canopies over the center slips, and two seasonal PWC lifts along the shoreline on Lake Winnepesaukee, Laconia.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Watermark Marine Construction dated October 26, 2006, as revised August 15, 2007, and received by the Department on August 16, 2007.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
3. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
4. Repairs to these structures may be conducted, as necessary, throughout the duration of this permit provided that the permittee notifies the Wetlands Bureau and Conservation Commission, in writing, of the proposed start and completion date prior to performing any repair.
5. Repairs shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
6. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
7. This permit does not allow for maintenance dredging.
8. The dock shall not extend more than 55 ft lakeward at full lake elevation of 504.32.
9. The minimum clear spacing between piles shall be 12 feet.
10. Construction debris shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
11. Seasonal piers shall be removed prior to the construction of the permanent docking facility.
12. Canopies shall be of seasonal construction type with a flexible fabric cover which shall be removed for the non-boating season.
13. PWC lifts shall be of seasonal construction type which shall be removed for the non-boating season.
14. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a major project per Rule Env-Wt 303.02(d), construction of a major docking facility.
2. The applicant has an average of 360 feet of frontage along Lake Winnepesaukee.
3. The existing docking facilities provide 6 slips.

4. The construction of proposed docking facilities will not result in an increase in the number of slips provided on the frontage nor will it increase the sq ft of construction surface area over public submerged lands.
5. The proposed docking facilities will provide the same number of slips while having less direct impact on the shoreline therefore Rule Env-Wt 402.20 has been met.
6. Public hearing is waived based on field inspection, by NH DES staff, on May 09, 2006, with the finding that the project impacts will not significantly impair the resources of Lake Winnepesaukee.
7. The relocation of the proposed docking facility shall result in no increase in the impacts associated with the project.

-Send to Governor and Executive Council-

2006-02265 WILLOW POND DEVELOPMENT CO LLC
CROYDON Willow Pond

Requested Action:

Deny permit to dredge and fill 1,820 square feet along 105 linear feet of Bog Brook to reconstruct the Willow Pond dam, converting 1.4 acres of scrub-shrub wetland to emergent wetland; and dredge 15,000 square feet of Willow Pond bed.

DENY PERMIT:

Deny permit to dredge and fill 1,820 square feet along 105 linear feet of Bog Brook to reconstruct the Willow Pond dam, converting 1.4 acres of scrub-shrub wetland to emergent wetland; and dredge 15,000 square feet of Willow Pond bed.

With Findings:

Standards for Approval

1. This is a major impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.02(i), projects that alter the course of or disturb 200 or more linear feet of an intermittent or perennial nontidal stream or river channel or its banks.
2. In accordance with RSA 482-A:3, Excavating and Dredging Permits, "[n]o person shall excavate, remove, fill, dredge or construct any structures in or on any bank, flat, marsh, or swamp, or in an adjacent to any waters of the state without a permit from the department."
3. In accordance with RSA 482-A:3 XIV(b), "Within 75 days of the issuance of a notice of administrative completeness for projects where the applicant proposes under one acre of jurisdictional impact and 105 days for all other projects, request any additional information that the department is permitted by law to require to complete its evaluation of the application, together with any written technical comments the department deems necessary. Any request for information shall specify that the applicant submit such information as soon as practicable and shall notify the applicant that if the requested information is not received within 120 days of the request, the department shall deny the application"
4. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 101.01 "Abandoned" means the failure, for a period of 5 years, to maintain an existing structure in a condition so that it is functional and intact.
5. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04(a)(1), Requirements for Application Evaluation, the applicant shall demonstrate the need for the proposed project.
6. In accordance with both Rules Env-Wt 302.04(a)(2) and Env-Wt 302.03, Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation, the applicant must provide evidence which demonstrates that his/her proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the Department's jurisdiction.
7. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04(d), the Department shall not grant a permit if the applicant fails to document that the proposed alternative is the one with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the Department's jurisdiction, the project will cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the state, or the project will cause random or unnecessary destruction of wetlands.
8. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04(e)(2), the Department shall not grant a permit if the applicant fails to meet the requirements of Rule Env-Wt 302.03, or address all of the requirements of Rule Env-Wt 302.04.
9. Rule Env-Wt 302.03(b), requires that the applicant shall submit a compensatory mitigation package for all permanent impacts that will remain after avoidance and minimization measures have been fully addressed.

Findings of Fact:

10. On November 19, 1987, the Department received an "Application to Construct or Reconstruct a Dam" for the replacement a beaver dam located at Willow (Bog) Brook with a man-made dam, from the Lyme Timber Company for the purpose of wildlife/recreation. On November 24, 1987, the Department's Water Resources Division approved the reconstruction of the dam. This reconstructed dam failed in 2001 resulting in a breach of approximately 40 ft. in length.
11. On August 20, 2002, the Department received a second "Application to Construct or Reconstruct a Dam" from Croydon Associates, LLC. No permit was issued for this work by the Department.
12. On August 21, 2006, the Department's Dam Bureau received a third "Application to Construct or Reconstruct a Dam" for the recreation of the Willow Pond Dam, #55.12, Bog Brook. This Application was submitted by the Willow Pond Development Company, LLC. This application has not been approved.
13. On August 30, 2006, the Department's Wetlands Bureau received a Standard Dredge and Fill Application to dredge and fill 1,820 square feet along 105 linear feet of Bog Brook to reconstruct the Willow Pond dam, converting 1.4 acres of scrub-shrub wetland to emergent wetland as a result of raising the water level. The application also proposed the dredge of 15,000 square feet of Willow Pond bed.
14. On September 7, 2006, the Department issued a Notice of Administrative Completeness Standard Dredge and Fill Application letter.
15. On November 17, 2006, the New Hampshire Fish and Game Department (NHFG) notified the Department that there were recorded occurrences of wood turtle, a state listed species of concern, in the project vicinity. NHFG objected to the increase in the water level of Willow Pond as it would result in detrimental habitat losses. NHFG requested additional information regarding possible downstream flow impacts and loss of wood turtle habitat and coldwater fish habitat.
16. On November 21, 2006, the Department issued a Request for More Information letter (RMI) stating, that the application as submitted was inadequate and that the Applicant needed to submit, among other things, an explanation of the need for the project, the nature of the existing resource, a function and value analysis, the total impacts of the proposed work, engineered and detailed plans with wetland and surface water boundaries, photographs, construction sequence and detailed plans for channel spillway construction, clarification of previous dam permits, and clarification of possible impacts associated with additional development. The Department further informed the Applicant that mitigation may be required per Env-Wt 302.03, given stream impacts exceed 200 linear feet and that the Department may have addition questions or may need additional information based on the review of this requested information once received. NHFG's comments to the Department were included with the RMI.
17. On January 12, 2007, a meeting was held at DES with the Applicant, the Agent for the Applicant (the "Agent"), the Engineer for the Applicant (the "Engineer"), NHFG staff and Department staff to discuss the November 21, 2006, RMI and details of the proposed project. Discussions included the history of the pond, abandonment per Env-Wt 101.01, site-conditions, wetland types and proposed conversion, proposed water elevation increase to the top of the existing outlet pipe, downstream impacts, need for the proposed dredging, and impacts to habitat for desired fisheries, impacts of future development, the alternative of dam removal and stream restoration, and possible mitigation that would be required to offset the loss of habitat and stream impact. NHFG staff mentioned the possible requirement of a 401 Water Quality Certificate and reiterated they did not support the conversion of higher quality scrub-shrub wetland habitat to open water.
18. A response to the Department's November 21, 2006, RMI was submitted by the Agent during the January 12, 2007 meeting.
19. On January 24, 2007, a meeting was held at DES attended by the Applicant, Agent, Engineer, NHFG staff, and Department staff. Discussions included, but were not lot limited to the existing and proposed water levels and the history of the dam. Department staff indicated if the water elevation was raised, then a 401 Water Quality Certificate would be required. Department staff informed the Applicant that an adequate explanation of need for the project still had not been presented and that a site-inspection would be conducted.
20. On February 6, 2007, representatives from the Department and NHFG conducted a site-inspection of Willow Pond. Attendees included the Applicant, Agent, and the Engineer. The field inspection determined that dredging would not provide the fisheries habitat claimed by the Applicant and as such would not be approvable, raising the water level as proposed would not result in conversion of a significant area of wetlands, installation of a structure to maintain minimum stream flows and fish passage would be necessary to prevent adverse impact to fisheries habitat. The Applicant was again informed of the possible requirement of a 401 Water Quality Certificate.
21. On February 8, 2007, the NHFG submitted comments to the Department stating that the dam's impacts on flows and water quality, particularly temperature, had rendered the habitat of Willow Pond and Bog Brook unsuitable brook trout. NHFG recommended against the approval of the proposed dredge, stated that the dam reconstruction could have a detrimental effect on the habitat of Bog Brook downstream of the dam if it were reconstructed, and recommended that if reconstructed it should be designed to maintain minimum downstream flows.
22. On March 16, 2007, the Department issued a second RMI. This RMI informed the Applicant of NHFG's February 8, 2007

- comments and requested that the applicant revise the project to remove the proposed dredge, include a low flow structure, and demonstrate that the dam will be passable by fish, complete an analysis to determine of adequate downstream flows to be maintained, and complete a survey identifying the perimeter and elevation of Willow Pond. The Department also advised the applicant there would be a required 2 year minimum of monitoring, Bog Brook during low flows, to demonstrate that it does not become dewatered immediately downstream of the dam. The Applicant was informed that should they continue with the proposal to raise the surface elevation of the pond it would require further coordination with the Watershed Bureau to determine if it would receive Water Quality Certificate approval. The Applicant was informed that removal of the dam would require a restoration plan.
23. On June 19, 2007, the Department received a submittal from the Agent in response to the March 16, 2007, RMI. The response cited a report written in 1939 and claimed this report stated that Bog Brook was an intermittent stream that did not support trout. The Agent maintained that since Bog Brook was not historically a trout stream, the Applicant did not need to address the items or steps requested by the Department to maintain fisheries habitat.
24. On June 22, 2007, the Department received comments from NHFG stating that the 1939 report did not state that Bog Brook would not support trout, nor did it state that the brook was intermittent. It only stated that it was not suitable to the trout stocking program being conducted at that time.
25. On June 27, 2007, the Wetlands Bureau received comments from the Watershed Management Bureau stating, "...that a small low flow channel is needed for instream flow to maintain water quality standards."
26. On July 6, 2007, the Department issued a letter to the Applicant stating that the 1939 report cited by the Agent did not support the conclusions reached by the Agent and that the Applicant was still responsible for addressing the items requested in the March 16, 2007, RMI letter.
27. On July 11, 2007, The Department received a 30-day time extension request from the Agent.
28. On July 27, 2007, The Department received a submittal from the Agent in response to the March 16, 2007, RMI. The submittal reiterated comments of the Agent's June 19, 2007, submittal. None of the items requested in the March 16, 2007, RMI were submitted.
29. On August 8, 2007, the Wetlands Bureau received comments from the Watershed Management Bureau recommending the Applicant be required to, "... conduct an antidegradation review for the proposed new dam to demonstrate that the benefits of a privately-owned steady-state pond with minimal downstream flows, as created by the dam, outweigh the public benefits of a dynamic wetland and downstream flows relative to beaver dams; or require the applicant to remove the extant dam, as the dam was constructed without a requisite DES Wetlands Permit or 401 Water Quality Certification."
30. On August 8, 2007, the Department received comments from the NHFG reiterating their previous position on the proposed project.
31. On August 9, 2007, Department received a submittal from the Agent that included photographs showing that two tributaries to the pond were dry.

Rulings in Support of Denial:

32. Information on record with the Department shows that the dam has been in failure since 2001 and has not been maintained in a condition so that it is functional and intact for a period greater than 5 years and, therefore, the structure is considered to be "abandoned" per Env-Wt 101.01.
33. Photographs submitted of dry inlet tributaries only do not support the Agent's determination that Bog Brook was an intermittent stream downstream of the prior wetland system and current existing pond.
34. NHFG has provided sufficient evidence to determine the Agent's conclusions that Bog Brook was intermittent and did not support trout are invalid.
35. The Applicant has failed to address Env-Wt 302.01(b) and, therefore, the application is denied in accordance with Env-Wt 302.04(d)(3), as the project causes unnecessary destruction of wetlands.
36. The applicant failed to address Env-Wt 302.03 and, therefore, the application is denied in accordance with Env-Wt 302.04(d)(1), as there may be practicable alternative that would have a less adverse impact on the area and environments under the Department's jurisdiction.
37. The applicant has failed to address Env-Wt 302.04(a), and, therefore, the application is denied in accordance with Env-Wt 302.04(d)(2), as the project would cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the state.
38. The Applicant failed to submit the information requested by the Department on November 21, 2006, and March 16, 2007, in a timely manner and, therefore, the application is denied in accordance with RSA 482-A, XIV(b).

MINOR IMPACT PROJECT

2001-01935 SANBORN ROAD REALTY LLC
LONDONDERRY Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Approve name change to: Pristine Properties Londonderry LLC, 289 Pine St., Manchester NH 03103 per request received 8/21/2007. Previous owner: Sanborn Rd Realty, LLC.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

The Londonderry Conservation Commission reported that: "We have no issues with the D & F for the roadway to access buildable uplands on this parcel.

APPROVE NAME CHANGE:

Dredge and fill 9,979 sq. ft. of palustrine forested/ scrub-shrub wetlands to construct a roadway with culvert crossings to provide access to upland building sites for four (4) 24-unit apartment buildings with appurtenant parking, facilities and stormwater management system.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by TFMoran, Inc. dated September 20, 2001, as received by the Department on September 28, 2001.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Site Specific Program.
3. There shall be no further alteration of wetlands for lot development, driveways, culverts, or for other construction activities.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fencing must be removed once the area is stabilized.
5. Dredged material shall be placed out of any area that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
6. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
7. Culvert outlets shall be properly rip rapped.

With Findings:

1. This permit transfer is issued in accordance with NH Administrative Rule Env-Wt 502.02.

2006-00354 CLAREMONT, CITY OF
CLAREMONT Sugar River

Requested Action:

Request to add two temporary support tower mats within the bed of the river for construction of the approved pedestrian bridge.

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Dredge and fill 3,328 square feet of riverbank, including 2,503 square feet (533 square feet permanent impacts) for the construction of a bridge abutment for a pedestrian bridge over the Sugar River and 225 square feet (75 square feet permanent impacts) for the replacement of a stormwater drainage outfall, impacting 74 linear feet of riverbank (31 linear feet permanent impacts) and approximately 600 square feet of temporary riverbed impacts for placement of two temporary tower supports with base mats.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by McFarland-Johnson, Inc. dated May 15 2006, as received by the DES Wetlands Bureau on May 15, 2006 and plans by Eckman Engineering, LLC., Temporary Support Tower plans, sheets C-6 and C-7, as received by DES on August 9, 2007.

2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Site Specific Program.
3. No equipment shall enter the water or river channel (i.e., equipment shall work from the bank and top of bank).
4. Any future work on this property that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau as specified in RSA 482-A will require a new application and approval by the Bureau.
5. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).
6. All work shall be done during low flow.
7. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
9. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
10. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
11. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
12. Work shall be conducted in a manner that avoids discharges of sediments to surface waters and wetlands.
13. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
14. The stormwater drainage outfall outlet shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August 1992).
15. Construction shall be inspected by a qualified wetland scientist, erosion control specialist, or professional engineer to ensure that appropriate protective measures are properly implemented, including those outlined in the plans and documents supporting this permit application and the conditions of this authorization.
16. Areas of temporary impact shall be restored to their pre-construction conditions, including restoration of original grades, following completion of work.
17. Stream banks shall be restored to a stable condition and shall be restored to the same as or better than pre-construction conditions.
18. Wetland or streambank restoration shall not be considered successful if sites are newly invaded by nuisance species such as common reed or purple loosestrife during the first full growing season following the completion of construction. The applicant shall work with DES to attempt to eradicate nuisance species newly found in the project vicinity during this same period.
19. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction to prevent accidental encroachment on wetlands.
20. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid prior to working in or adjacent to surface waters or wetlands.
21. Faulty equipment shall be repaired prior to entering jurisdictional areas.
22. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.
23. All refueling of equipment shall occur outside of surface waters or wetlands during construction.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Wt 303.03(1), projects that alter the course of or disturb less than 200 linear feet of an intermittent or perennial nontidal stream or river channel or its banks and do not meet the criteria for minimum impact under Wt 303.04(n).
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Wt 302.01. The impacts are necessary for the construction of a bridge abutment for a pedestrian bridge over the Sugar River and the replacement of an existing substandard stormwater drainage outfall. The proposed projects are part of the City of Claremont's downtown mill neighborhood revitalization project. The proposed bridge will provide a link between the historic mill districts on the north and south sides of the Sugar River. Replacement of the stormwater drainage outfall is part of road reconstruction and drainage system improvements associated with the redevelopment project.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the DES Wetlands Bureau's jurisdiction per Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application

Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

5. The proposed projects do not require permanent impacts below the ordinary high water mark of the river.
6. The applicant explored two options for bridge construction: single-span and two-span bridge geometry arrangements. The two-span configuration would require the abutment to be constructed within the 100-year floodplain. The single-span configuration does result in slightly greater wetlands impacts than the two-span alternative but does not encroach on the 100-year floodplain. The proposed bridge abutment is located upslope of the 100-year flood elevation in order to avoid floodway encroachment.
7. The bridge construction will involve bank impacts on the south side of the river to construct the bridge abutment. Construction on the north side of the river is confined to areas outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau. The north end of the bridge will be located above the north bank of the river near a concrete wall foundation remnant from a previously razed mill building.
8. The road reconstruction and drainage improvements associated with the redevelopment project will separate the stormwater and sanitary sewer flow and eliminate the potential for the sanitary sewer flow to overflow into the Sugar River. The proposed stormwater drainage outfall will be the discharge point for the closed drainage system improvements. The proposed outfall will be a 36-inch plastic drainage pipe with stone apron outlet protection to protect the riverbank from erosion. Two proposed closed drainage systems will discharge to this point. At the downstream end of these systems, before they discharge to the river, a mechanical treatment unit will be installed to remove 80% of the total suspended solids for the first 1/2" of rainfall. The proposed drainage system is an improvement over the existing drainage system since it eliminates the potential for sanitary sewer flow to the river and provides treatment for more frequent storm events.
9. The rip-rap apron at the outlet of the stormwater drainage outfall will be constructed above the ordinary high water mark of the river.
10. The applicant contacted the NH Fish and Game Department (NHFGD) regarding potential impacts of the proposed project on the Common nighthawk, a State threatened species, which nests near the project area. NHFGD responded that no impacts are expected as a result of the proposed project.
11. The applicant contacted the NH Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) regarding protected species in the vicinity of the proposed project. NHB responded that there were several historical listings of rare plants in the project vicinity but that no impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed project.
12. The applicant coordinated with the NH State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to assess the potential impacts of the proposed project on historical resources. SHPO found the proposed projects to have no adverse effects on historical or archaeological resources.
13. The applicant provided correspondence from NHFGD approving of the two temporary support towers in the riverbed.

**2006-02005 SAWYERS MILS APARTMENTS, C/O ARBOR MANAGEMENT CO
DOVER**

Requested Action:

Retain 2,400 sq. ft. of bank impact (80 linear ft.) along the Bellamy River for stabilization of an eroded slope. Emergency Authorization issued August 11, 2006.

APPROVE AFTER THE FACT:

Retain 2,400 sq. ft. of bank impact (80 linear ft.) along the Bellamy River for stabilization of an eroded slope. Emergency Authorization issued August 11, 2006.

With Conditions:

1. The work shall be in accordance with plans and all descriptive details by Arbor Management Company, Inc., as received by the Department on February 5, 2007.
2. The owner shall remove the existing rip rap stone that contained a significant amount of fine material and reconstruct slope in accordance with approved plans.
3. The owner shall coordinate with NH Fish and Game Department prior to scheduling work within this area. The owner shall schedule the timing of the work to the satisfaction and approval of NH Fish and Game Department.
4. Work shall be done during low flow.
5. The limit of work shall not extend beyond what has been disturbed under the Emergency Authorization.

6. Any further alteration of DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdictional areas on this property will require a new application and further permitting by the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(1), projects that disturb less than 200 linear ft. of a river channel or its banks.
2. A DES Emergency Authorization for this work was issued to the owner of the property on August 11, 2006.
3. On October 16, 2006, DES conducted an inspection of the property and determined that the rip rap material used contained a significant amount of fine material that is not suitable for the stabilization of the riverbank and was not authorized in the DES Emergency Authorization. Revised plans and details were submitted to DES with the after-the-fact request for appropriate stabilization materials and methods.
4. This proposal meets the criteria of Chapter Wt 300 for approvable minor impact projects.
5. NH Fish and Game Department indicates that this area of the Bellamy River is used for spawning and this after-the-fact request is conditioned to require the owner to coordinate the timing of the project with NH Fish and Game Department.
6. The NH Natural Heritage Bureau has record of the natural community of low brackish tidal riverbank marsh and four State threatened plant species within the project vicinity. This after-the-fact approval is conditioned to require the owner not extend beyond what has been disturbed under the Emergency Authorization.

**2007-00197 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
CAMBRIDGE Tributary To Androscoggin River**

Requested Action:

Extend a 15 in. culvert by 12 ft. and relocate 25 ft. of stream to allow the culvert extension.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Cons Comm. no comment

APPROVE PERMIT:

Extend a 15 in. culvert by 12 ft. and relocate 25 ft. of stream to allow the culvert extension. NHDOT project #14805.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by NHDOT dated 11/13/06 as received by the Department on January 24, 2007.
2. Dredged material shall be placed out of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
4. Construction equipment shall not be located within surface waters.
5. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
6. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
7. Extreme precautions to be taken within riparian areas to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation during road construction and areas cleared of vegetation to be revegetated as quickly as possible.
8. There shall be no further alteration to wetlands or surface waters without amendment of this permit.
9. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
10. Work shall be done during low flow.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(1), alteration of less than 200 linear feet of stream.

2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
5. NH Fish and Game indicated no problem with the project.

**2007-00329 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
MILAN Androscoggin River**

Requested Action:

Stabilize 6 linear feet of bank with class B stone impacting 60 sq. ft. of stream and 120 sq. ft. of bank.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Cons. Comm. no comment

APPROVE PERMIT:

Stabilize 6 linear feet of bank with class B stone impacting 60 sq. ft. of stream and 120 sq. ft. of bank. NHDOT project #M106-07-01.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by NHDOT:
 - a. Maintenance District 1 dated 2/6/07 and
 - b. NHDOT Construction Bureau dated 1-25-07 and 1-30-07 all as received by the Department on Feb. 20, 2007.
2. Dredged material shall be placed out of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
4. Construction equipment shall not be located within surface waters.
5. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
6. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
7. Extreme precautions to be taken within riparian areas to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation during road construction and areas cleared of vegetation to be revegetated as quickly as possible.
8. There shall be no further alteration to wetlands or surface waters without amendment of this permit.
9. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
10. Work shall be done during low flow.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(1), alteration of less than 200 linear feet of a stream and banks.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2007-00574 YAMANE, STEPHEN & JENNIFER
MELVIN VILLAGE Lake Winnepesaukee**

Requested Action:

Install 80 linear feet of rip rap along the shoreline to stabilize the bank on property having 149 ft of frontage in Melvin Village, on Lake Winnepesaukee.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com has no concerns

APPROVE PERMIT:

Install 80 linear feet of rip rap along the shoreline to stabilize the bank on property having 149 ft of frontage in Melvin Village, on Lake Winnepesaukee.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Carl Johnson Jr revision dated July 29, 2007, as received by DES on July 31, 2007.
2. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement or revocation action if the DES later determines that any of the structures depicted as "existing" on the plans submitted by the applicant were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
3. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
4. Appropriate siltation and erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
5. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate dredge area, and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and the water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
6. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
7. Work shall be conducted in a manner that avoids excessive discharges of sediments to fish spawning areas.
8. Rip-rap shall be located landward of the shoreline at the normal high water, where practical, and shall not extend more than 2 feet lakeward of that line at any point.
9. This permit does not allow for the removal of any stumps or trees from the bank or lakebed.
10. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(k), projects that disturb between 50 and 200 linear feet, measured along the shoreline, of a lake or pond or its bank and do not meet the criteria of Env-Wt 303.02.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.

2007-00598 VERNI, RALPH
NEWBURY Lake Sunapee

Requested Action:

Permanently remove the existing permanent docking facility and construct two 6 ft x 26 ft piling piers connected by a 6 ft x 10 ft permanent walkway accessed by a 6 ft x 4 ft permanent walkway, install a 12 ft x 22 ft seasonal canopy over the center slip with a permanent piling supported boatlift in the center slip, install one, 3 pile ice cluster, remove two existing decks, remove existing stone retaining walls, restabilize the shoreline with native plantings, and construct a 31 ft x 27 ft perched beach on Lake Sunapee, Newbury.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

No comments from Con Com by 06/13/07

APPROVE PERMIT:

Permanently remove the existing permanent docking facility and construct two 6 ft x 26 ft piling piers connected by a 6 ft x 10 ft permanent walkway accessed by a 6 ft x 4 ft permanent walkway, install a 12 ft x 22 ft seasonal canopy over the center slip with a permanent piling supported boatlift in the center slip, install one, 3 pile ice cluster, remove two existing decks, remove existing stone retaining walls, restabilize the shoreline with native plantings, and construct a 31 ft x 27 ft perched beach on Lake Sunapee, Newbury.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Pellettieri Associates dated March 21, 2007, revision date July 13, 2007, as received by the Department on July 23, 2007.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the County Registry of Deeds office by the Permittee. A copy of the recorded permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau by certified mail, return receipt requested, prior to construction.
3. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
4. Repairs to these structures may be conducted, as necessary, throughout the duration of this permit provided that the permittee notifies the Wetlands Bureau and Conservation Commission, in writing, of the proposed start and completion date prior to performing any repair.
5. Repairs shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
6. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
7. This permit does not allow for maintenance dredging.
8. The docking facility shall not extend more than 30 ft lakeward at full lake elevation of 1093.15.
9. The minimum clear spacing between piles shall be 12 feet.
10. Canopies shall be of seasonal construction type with a flexible fabric cover which shall be removed for the non-boating season.
11. Dredged or excavated material shall be placed outside of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
12. Stone placed along the beach front for the purpose of retaining sand shall be placed above and/or landward of those rocks currently located along the normal high water line (Elevation 1093.15). Those rocks existing at the normal high water line shall remain otherwise undisturbed such that the natural shoreline remains identifiable.
13. The steps installed for access to the water shall be located completely landward of the normal high water line.
14. No more than 10 cu yd of sand may be used and all sand shall be located above the normal high water line.
15. This permit shall be used only once, and does not allow for annual beach replenishment.
16. The permittee shall provide appropriate diversion of surface water runoff to prevent erosion of beach area.
17. Revegetation of trees, shrubs and ground covers representing the density and species diversity of the existing stand of vegetation removed for this project shall begin at a distance no greater than 5 feet landward from the beach area.
18. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
19. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(d), construction or modification of any docking system that exceeds the design and construction criteria discussed at Env-Wt 402.01 for minimum impact docks classified under Env-Wt 303.04.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
5. The applicant has an average of 200 feet of shoreline frontage along Lake Sunapee.
6. A maximum of 3 slips may be permitted on this frontage per Rule Env-Wt 402.12, Frontage Over 75'.
7. The proposed docking facility will provide 3 slips as defined per RSA 482-A:2, VIII and therefore meets Rule Env-Wt 402.12.

2007-01284 CRAWFORD, JAMES
MOULTONBOROUGH Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:

Remove 62.5 sq ft of permanent docking facility and install a seasonal boatlift and 2 seasonal personal watercraft lifts adjacent to the remaining docking facility which will consist of a 5 ft 6 in x 25 ft pier supported by two 4 ft x 8 ft cribs connected to an 8 ft x 25 ft pier supported by two 6 ft x 8 ft cribs by an 11 ft 7 in x 24 ft walkway supported by two 6 ft x 8 ft cribs accessed by a 4 ft 6 in x 30 ft 6 in walkway on an average of 246 ft of frontage on Moultonborough Bay, in Moultonborough.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Remove 62.5 sq ft of permanent docking facility and install a seasonal boatlift and 2 seasonal personal watercraft lifts adjacent to the remaining docking facility which will consist of a 5 ft 6 in x 25 ft pier supported by two 4 ft x 8 ft cribs connected to an 8 ft x 25 ft pier supported by two 6 ft x 8 ft cribs by an 11 ft 7 in x 24 ft walkway supported by two 6 ft x 8 ft cribs accessed by a 4 ft 6 in x 30 ft 6 in walkway on an average of 246 ft of frontage on Moultonborough Bay, in Moultonborough.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Watermark Marine Construction dated April 24, 2007, as received by DES on June 5, 2007.
2. Any future work on this property that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau as specified in RSA 482-A will require a new application and approval by the Bureau.
3. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the recorded permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau, by certified mail, return receipt requested, prior to construction.
4. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement or revocation action if the DES later determines that any of the structures depicted as "existing" on the plans submitted by the applicant were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
6. Work authorized shall be carried out such that discharges in spawning or nursery areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided, and impacts to such areas shall be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable during all times of the year.
7. Work shall be carried out in a time and manner such that disturbance to migratory waterfowl breeding areas and spawning areas shall be avoided.
8. Crib material shall be timber, concrete, or other material approved by the Department of Environmental Services, and of such size and spacing as necessary to completely contain the ballast.
9. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. The project is deemed approved in accordance with RSA 482-A:3 as an Administrative Completeness letter was not issued within the required timeframe.

MINIMUM IMPACT PROJECT

2006-02721 DEPALMA, PHILIP
MEREDITH Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Amendment request to add an additional 15 square feet of temporary impacts for utility installation.

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Restore 40 square feet of previously disturbed wetland and dredge and fill a total of 215 square feet of palustrine forested wetland further described as follows: Temporarily impact 15 square feet for utility installation and permanently impact 200 square feet including installation of a 12-inch x 23.5 foot culvert for access to a proposed building location.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Ames Associates dated October 2006, and revised through June 2007, as received by the Department on June 14, 2007.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
3. Work shall be done during periods of non-flow.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
5. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
6. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
7. The temporary impact area shall be regraded to the original contours within 2 days.
8. All material removed during restoration activities shall be removed down to the level of the original hydric soils.
9. All material removed during restoration activities shall be placed out of DES's jurisdiction.
10. Mulch within the restoration area and temporary impact area shall be straw.
11. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
12. A post-construction report documenting the status of the restored jurisdictional area, including photographs shall be submitted to the Wetlands Bureau within 60 days of the completion of construction.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f), projects involving alteration of less than 3,000 square feet in swamps or wet meadows that are not in prime wetlands or do not meet the requirements of Env-Wt 303.02(k), provided that no previous department permit has placed restrictions on the property of the applicant.

**2007-00714 DEROO FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST OF 2004, MARION DEROO
NEW HAMPTON Unnamed Stream Wetlands**

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 321 square feet of drainage ditch and palustrine forested wetland for three driveway accesses in the subdivision of 18 acres into 5-single family residential lots.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 321 square feet of drainage ditch and palustrine forested wetland for three driveway accesses in the subdivision of 18 acres into 5-single family residential lots.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by DMC Surveyors dated February 15, 2007, and revised through July 10, 2007 as received by the Department on July 26, 2007.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
3. There shall be no further alteration of wetlands for lot development, driveways, culverts, or for septic setback.
4. The deed which accompanies the sales transaction for each of the lots in this subdivision shall contain condition #3 of this approval.
5. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the recorded permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau.
6. Work shall be done during periods of non-flow.
7. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized.

8. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
9. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
10. Culvert outlets shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
11. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
12. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
13. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.
14. Silt fencing must be removed once the area is stabilized.
15. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f) Projects involving alteration of less than 3,000 square feet in swamps or wet meadows that are not in prime wetlands or do not meet the requirements of Env-Wt 303.02(k), provided that no previous department permit has placed restrictions on the property of the applicant.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2007-01247 WATERVILLE VALLEY, TOWN OF
WATERVILLE VALLEY Corcoran Pond/snows Brook**

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 4.62 acres (201,234 square feet) within an existing man-made pond (a.k.a. Corcoran Pond) to restore the pond depth for fish habitat, fire protection, snowmaking and recreation; and to create .8 acres (34,848 square feet) of wetland along the pond perimeter to improve overall water quality. In addition, temporarily impact approximately 500 square feet of wetland for construction access to the pond.

Inspection Date: 12/08/2006 by Craig D Rennie

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 4.62 acres (201,234 square feet) within an existing man-made pond (a.k.a. Corcoran Pond) to restore the pond depth for fish habitat, fire protection, snowmaking and recreation; and to create .8 acres (34,848 square feet) of wetland along the pond perimeter to improve overall water quality. In addition, temporarily impact approximately 500 square feet of wetland for construction access to the pond.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Joseph Urbani & Associates, Inc. dated July 18, 2006, as received by DES on June 4, 2007; and in accordance with the erosion and sediment control plans and the upland restoration plans by TTG Environmental Consultants, LLC dated August 16, 2007, as received by DES on August 17, 2007.
2. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.

4. Work shall be done during drawdown and low flow conditions.
5. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
6. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Temporary wetland impact areas shall be restored and replanted until a functional wetland is replicated in a manner satisfactory to the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
9. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
10. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.
11. All planted vegetation shall be native and consistent with surrounding natural vegetation on the property and surrounding properties. Planting invasive or exotic species is strictly prohibited.
12. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid, and faulty equipment shall be repaired prior to entering jurisdictional areas.
13. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.
14. All refueling of equipment shall occur outside of surface waters or wetlands during construction.
15. A post-construction report documenting the status of the completed project with photographs shall be submitted to the Wetlands Bureau within 60 days of the completion of construction.

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a Minimum Impact Project per NH Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(t), as the project proposes to restore the existing pond and improve overall water quality.
2. DES conducted a pre-application meeting and site inspection on December 8, 2006 and found that the town Conservation Commission, the local fire department, and the town administrators were all in support of the project. In addition, we discussed various construction and dewatering alternatives to reduce the potential for downstream water quality degradation.
3. On May 17, 2007, DES met with the Town of Waterville Valley and their agents, as well as the Army Corps of Engineers, the US Fish & Wildlife Service, US Environmental Protection Agency. All agreed that if DES classified the project as a minimum impact restoration project, that it would be acceptable to process it through the Army Corps' General Programmatic Permit.
4. In a letter dated May 30, 2007, the Waterville Valley Conservation Commission stated that they strongly support the project to dredge Corcoran's Pond and that the project will benefit the community and the environment in many ways.
5. The applicant requested a waiver of Rule Env-Wt 303.04(t)(1), which requires restoration projects to have financial support and direct supervision from a NH state agency and a federal agency. The project will be funded by the Town of Waterville Valley, and the Town staff and consultants will be supervising each aspect of the project to ensure the project's success.
6. DES finds that Rule Env-Wt 303.04(t)(1) can be waived as local municipal funding and oversight of the project meets the general intent of the rule.

2007-01267 G MILLZ REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS LLC
ORFORD Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Approval to remove a closed intermittent stream drainage pipe and relocate into an approximately 5 ft. wide x 85 ft. run open stoned lined drainage swale. Work is being conducted to improve drainage, remove an existing structure and construct a new 2 bedroom home.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Approval to remove a closed intermittent stream drainage pipe and relocate into an approximately 5 ft. wide x 85 ft. run open stoned

lined drainage swale. Work is being conducted to improve drainage, remove an existing structure and construct a new 2 bedroom home.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Robert Newton, dated July 17, 2007, as received by DES on August 16, 2007 and plans by Hogg Hill Design, L.L.C., dated August 13, 2007, as received by DES on August 15, 2007.
2. This permit is contingent on the approval by the DES Shoreland Protection Program.
3. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
5. Work shall be done during low flow.
6. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
7. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
8. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
9. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
10. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
11. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid. Faulty equipment shall be repaired prior immediately.
12. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.
13. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(o) Projects deemed minimum impact by the department based on the degree of environmental impact;
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. DES inspected the site on April 18, 2007 in response to inquiries regarding the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act RSA 483-B and work being conducted on the property. At the time of the inspection DES identified the closed intermittent stream drainage pipe and suggested that they submit an application for impacts to the drainage pipe in order to make a better drainage system.
4. The existing drainage pipe carries flow from an intermittent stream underground into a drywell.
5. This permit is contingent on the approval by the DES Shoreland Protection Program.
6. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.

2007-01536 BAKER, WILLIAM
PITTSFIELD Jenness Pond

Requested Action:

Deposit no more than 10 cu yards of sand on existing beach, 35 ft from the normal high water mark elevation on 1600 ft of frontage in Pittsfield on Jenness Pond.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Deposit no more than 10 cu yards of sand on existing beach, 35 ft from the normal high water mark elevation on 1600 ft of frontage in Pittsfield on Jenness Pond.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by William Baker, as received by the Department on July 6, 2007.
2. No more than 10 cu yds of sand may be used and all sand shall be located above the normal high water line.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
4. Sand shall be clean and completely free of any invasive plants and animals, seeds and/or any fragments.
5. This permit shall be used only once, and does not allow for annual beach replenishment.
6. The permittee shall provide appropriate diversion of surface water runoff to prevent erosion of beach area.
7. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
8. The Department has determined that this project is in the vicinity of an impaired waterbody. Therefore stormwater runoff treatment for this project shall be designed and constructed so that the stormwater pollutant loads from the completed project are no greater than the stormwater pollutant loads that existed prior to the project for all pollutants causing impairment which are likely to be in stormwater discharged from the completed project.
9. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(aa) beach replenishment not exceeding 10 cubic yards.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

EXPEDITED MINIMUM

2006-02540 PRISTINE PROPERTIES LONDONDERRY LLC
LONDONDERRY Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Approve name change to: Pristine Properties Londonderry LLC, 289 Pine St., Manchester NH 03103 per request received 8/21/2007. Previous owner: Sanborn Road Realty LLC.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

1. The Conservation Commission signed the Minimum Impact Expedited Application waiving their right to intervene on the project.

APPROVE NAME CHANGE:

Dredge and fill 128 sq. ft. of emergent wetlands to widen an existing roadway (Sanborn Road). Work within wetlands consists of replacing and relocation of an existing approximately 12 in. x 40 ft. CMP with a 15 in. 90 ft. CMP culvert, headwalls, inlet and outlet protection and associated grading.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by TFMoran, Inc., dated September 15, 2006, as received by the Department on October 3, 2006.
2. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
3. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or

netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.

4. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
5. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.
6. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
7. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.

With Findings:

1. This permit transfer is issued in accordance with NH Administrative Rule Env-Wt 502.02.

2007-01302 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
SANBORNTON Unnamed Stream

Requested Action:

Stabilize 25 linear feet of roadway / stream bank temporarily impacting 450 sq. ft. of stream and bank.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Stabilize 25 linear feet of roadway / stream bank temporarily impacting 450 sq. ft. of stream and bank. NHDOT project #2007M313-11.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by NHDOT Maintenance District 3 dated 5/8/07, as received by DES on June 13, 2007.
2. Additional expedited minimum impact applications shall be accepted for the subject property for a period of 12 months only if the applicant demonstrates by plan that the additional project(s) are wholly unrelated or separate from the original application and when considered with the original application are not classified as minor or major.
3. Work authorized shall be carried out such that discharges shall be avoided in spawning or nursery areas during spawning seasons, and impacts to such areas shall be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable during all times of the year.
4. Work shall be carried out in a time and manner such that disturbance to migratory waterfowl breeding and nesting areas shall be avoided.
5. No fill shall be done to achieve septic setback and no dredging shall take place that would contradict the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau rules.
6. No fill shall be done for lot development.
7. No fill shall take place in Atlantic white cedar swamps.

2007-01427 MOVELLE, GERALD
HVERHILL Unnamed Stream

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill approximately 2,400 sq. ft. of wetlands and an intermittent stream, to install four culverts (two 18 in. diameter x 20 ft., one 24 in. diameter x 20 ft., and one 12 in. diameter x 20 ft.), for road construction, to facilitate access to uplands for a single family building lot and recreational use.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

RMI Letter sent 8/1/07. Plans inadequate. No wetland data, no limits of impact areas. Await info & process accordingly. MAM

8/16/2007 Additional info. recvd. Review and process accordingly. MAM

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill approximately 2,400 sq. ft. of wetlands and an intermittent stream, to install four culverts (two 18 in. diameter x 20 ft., one 24 in. diameter x 20 ft., and one 12 in. diameter x 20 ft.), for road construction, to facilitate access to uplands for a single family building lot and recreational use.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Kellogg Surveying & Mapping, dated February 2006, and edited by Gerald Movelle, homeowner, August 2007, as received by DES on August 16, 2007.
2. Work shall be done during low flow.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
4. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
5. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
6. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
7. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(z). Installation of a culvert or bridge and associated fill to permit vehicular access to a piece of property for a single family building lot or for noncommercial recreational uses.
2. In accordance with Env-Wt 303.04(z), for Minimum Impact Projects, approval is granted to: Dredge and fill approximately 2,400 sq. ft. of wetlands and an intermittent stream, to install four culverts (two 18 in. x 20 ft., one 24 in. x 20 ft., and one 12 in. x 20 ft.), for road construction, to facilitate access to uplands for a single family building lot and recreational use.
3. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 4. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
5. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2007-01486 FINI, HERBERT
FRANCONIA Unnamed Wetland**

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 795 square feet including installation of a 18-inch x 40 foot culvert for access to a proposed single family residence.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

1. Conservation Commission signed Minimum Impact Expedited Application waiving its right to intervene.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 795 square feet including installation of a 18-inch x 40 foot culvert for access to a proposed single family residence.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans entitled: Wetlands Impact Plan, Hebert Fini, dated April 11, 2007, as received by the Department on July 5, 2007.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.

3. Any future work on this property that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau as specified in RSA 482-A will require a new application and approval by the Bureau.
4. Work shall be done during periods of non-flow.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
6. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
7. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
9. Culvert outlets shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
10. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(z), installation of a culvert or bridge and associated fill to permit vehicular access to a piece of property for a single family building lot or for noncommercial recreational uses.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2007-01678 PHILLIPS EXETER ACADEMY
EXETER Unnamed Wetland**

Requested Action:

Impact a total of 205 square feet of forested wetland to include 165 square feet of temporary impact and 40 square feet of permanent impact for the replacement of an existing 10-inch AC outfall pipe with a 12-inch CPE pipe, installation of a water quality inlet structure, and construction of a riprap apron.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

The Exeter Conservation Commission signed the Minimum Impact Expedited Application.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Impact a total of 205 square feet of forested wetland to include 165 square feet of temporary impact and 40 square feet of permanent impact for the replacement of an existing 10-inch AC outfall pipe with a 12-inch CPE pipe, installation of a water quality inlet structure, and construction of a riprap apron.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Altus Engineering, Inc. dated July 10, 2007, as received by DES on July 25, 2007.
2. The Department has determined that this project is in the vicinity of an impaired waterbody. Therefore stormwater runoff treatment for this project shall be designed and constructed so that the stormwater pollutant loads from the completed project are no greater than the stormwater pollutant loads that existed prior to the project for all pollutants causing impairment which are likely to be in stormwater discharged from the completed project.
3. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.

4. DES staff shall be notified in writing prior to commencement of work and upon its completion.
5. Work shall be done during seasonal low flow conditions.
6. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
7. Culvert outlets shall be properly rip rapped.
8. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f), alteration of less than 3,000 square feet of forested wetland.
2. The impacts are necessary to treat storm water, therefore the need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2007-01780 SULLIVAN, JAMES & DIANA
BETHLEHEM Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 695 square feet including installation of a 18-inch x 20 foot culvert for access to a proposed single family residence.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 695 square feet including installation of a 18-inch x 20 foot culvert for access to a proposed single family residence.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans entitled: Wetlands Impact Plan, Diana & James Sullivan, dated May 18, 2007, as received by the Department on August 6, 2007.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
3. Any future work on this property that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau as specified in RSA 482-A will require a new application and approval by the Bureau.
4. Work shall be done during periods of non-flow.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
6. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
7. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
9. Culvert outlets shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
10. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(z), installation of a culvert or bridge and associated

fill to permit vehicular access to a piece of property for a single family building lot or for noncommercial recreational uses.

2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2007-01817 CUTONE, RICHARD
MOULTONBOROUGH Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:

Repair an existing 19 linear feet of perched beach wall, install 4 ft wide access steps to access the waterbody, add 10 cubic yards of sand, replace 5 wide access steps to the dock on Lake Winnepesaukee, Moultonboro.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com DID NOT sign Exp application, no comments submitted to file by Aug 20, 2007.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Repair an existing 19 linear feet of perched beach wall, install 4 ft wide access steps to access the waterbody, add 10 cubic yards of sand, replace 5 wide access steps to the dock on Lake Winnepesaukee, Moultonboro.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by New Hampshire Environmental Consultants dated August 03, 2007, as received by DES on August 07, 2007.
2. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement or revocation action if the DES later determines that any of the structures depicted as "existing" on the plans submitted by the applicant were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
3. Repair shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
4. Retaining wall shall be constructed landward of the shoreline defined by the elevation of normal high water so as not to create land in public water.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
6. Dredged or excavated material shall be placed outside of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
7. Stone placed along the beach front for the purpose of retaining sand shall be placed above and/or landward of those rocks currently located along the normal high water line (Elevation 504.32).
8. The steps installed for access to the water shall be located completely landward of the normal high water line.
9. No more than 10 cu. yds. of sand may be used and all sand shall be located above the normal high water line.
10. This permit shall be used only once, and does not allow for annual beach replenishment.
11. Revegetation of trees, shrubs and ground covers representing the density and species diversity of the existing stand of vegetation removed for this project shall begin at a distance no greater than 5 feet landward from the beach area.
12. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a minimum impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.04(x), repair, replacement of nondocking structures.
2. The local Conservation Commission did not sign the Minimum Impact Expedited Application or submit written comments to the file by August 20, 2007.

2007-01932 ALSTEAD, TOWN OF
ALSTEAD Warren Brook

Requested Action:

Riprap to provide stabilization of approximately 1,300 linear feet of Warren Brook streambank and streambed as identified by NRCS engineer as DSR 30R5, as identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency's multidisciplinary team to be funded as part of the USDA-NRCS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response the October 2005 flooding.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Riprap to provide stabilization of approximately 1,300 linear feet of Warren Brook streambank and streambed as identified by NRCS engineer as DSR 30R5, as identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency's multidisciplinary team to be funded as part of the USDA-NRCS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response the October 2005 flooding.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with drawings by the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) entitled "Streambank Stabilization, Town of Alstead, DSR Site # 30R5", dated August 2007 and Construction Specifications 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 21, 23, 61, and 95 submitted in support of the permit application, as received by the DES Wetlands Bureau on August 17, 2007.
2. Work shall be done during low flow.
3. The applicant shall obtain temporary construction easements or written agreements from affected landowners prior to the start of work.
4. The applicant shall notify the Town Board of Selectman and the Town Conservation Commission prior to the start of work.
5. Work shall be inspected by NRCS to ensure the appropriate protective measures are properly implemented, including those outlined in the plans and documents supporting this permit application and the conditions of this authorization.
6. All work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
7. All in-stream work shall be conducted in a manner that minimizes the duration of construction in the river. In-stream work shall not exceed five (5) consecutive days in total unless specifically authorized by the DES-Wetlands Bureau.
8. The permittee and/or their contractor shall monitor the weather and shall not commence work within flowing water, including the installation of cofferdams, when rain is forecast.
9. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized.
10. Extreme precautions shall be taken to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation within riparian areas.
11. Erosion control structures shall be removed once the work areas are stabilized.
12. Construction equipment shall be inspected for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid prior to entering or working near surface waters or wetlands.
13. Faulty equipment shall be repaired prior to entering or working near jurisdictional areas.
14. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04 (t) Restoration of altered or degraded wetlands provided the project.
2. On August 14, 2007 the Alstead Conservation Commission and the Cold River Local Advisory Committee when NRCS presented its remedial action plans to be taken along the Warren Brook as remediation for the 2005 rain event.
3. The Alstead Conservation Commission have reviewed the project plans and has no objections to the application submission for the Cold River NRCS remediation plan.
4. This project has been identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency multidisciplinary team to be funded as part of the USDA-NRDS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response to the October 2005 flooding.
5. The NH DES recognizes the time frame limits to the funding of this project.

**2007-01933 ALSTEAD, TOWN OF
ALSTEAD Warren Brook**

Requested Action:

Riprap to provide stabilization of approximately 2,065 linear feet of Warren Brook streambank and streambed as identified by NRCS engineer as DSR 30R8, as identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency's multidisciplinary team to be

funded as part of the USDA-NRCS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response the October 2005 flooding.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Riprap to provide stabilization of approximately 2,065 linear feet of Warren Brook streambank and streambed as identified by NRCS engineer as DSR 30R8, as identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency's multidisciplinary team to be funded as part of the USDA-NRCS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response the October 2005 flooding.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with drawings by the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) entitled "Streambank Stabilization, Town of Alstead, DSR Site # 30R8", dated August 2007 and Construction Specifications 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 21, 23, 61, 95 and 704 submitted in support of the permit application, as received by the DES Wetlands Bureau on August 17, 2007.
2. Work shall be done during low flow.
3. The applicant shall obtain temporary construction easements or written agreements from affected landowners prior to the start of work.
4. The applicant shall notify the Town Board of Selectman and the Town Conservation Commission prior to the start of work.
5. Work shall be inspected by NRCS to ensure the appropriate protective measures are properly implemented, including those outlined in the plans and documents supporting this permit application and the conditions of this authorization.
6. All work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
7. All in-stream work shall be conducted in a manner that minimizes the duration of construction in the river. In-stream work shall not exceed five (5) consecutive days in total unless specifically authorized by the DES-Wetlands Bureau.
8. The permittee and/or their contractor shall monitor the weather and shall not commence work within flowing water, including the installation of cofferdams, when rain is forecast.
9. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized.
10. Extreme precautions shall be taken to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation within riparian areas.
11. Erosion control structures shall be removed once the work areas are stabilized.
12. Construction equipment shall be inspected for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid prior to entering or working near surface waters or wetlands.
13. Faulty equipment shall be repaired prior to entering or working near jurisdictional areas.
14. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(t) Restoration of altered or degraded wetlands provided the project.
2. On August 14, 2007 the Alstead Conservation Commission and the Cold River Local Advisory Committee when NRCS presented its remedial action plans to be taken along the Warren Brook as remediation for the 2005 rain event.
3. The Alstead Conservation Commission have reviewed the project plans and has not objections to the application submission for the Cold River NRCS remediation plan.
4. This project has been identified by the Town of Alstead and qualified by a federal agency multidisciplinary team to be funded as part of the USDA-NRDS Emergency Watershed Protection (EWP) program in response to the October 2005 flooding.
5. The NH DES recognizes the time frame limits to the funding of this project.

LAKES-SEASONAL DOCK NOTIF

**2007-01962 BAGLEY, LAURENCE
NEW IPSWICH Pratt Pond**

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:

New Ipswich, NH Tax map 3A Lot 81
Pratt Pond

2007-01963 CRUTCHLEY, JAMES

CENTER OSSIPEE Ossipee Lake

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:

Center Ossipee, NH Tax map 32 Lot 58
Ossipee Lake

2007-01981 ELLIOT, ROBERT

DERRY Beaver Lake

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:

Derry, NH Tax map 56 Lot 5
Beaver Lake

SHORELAND VARIANCE / WAIV

2007-00821 KELLY, EDWARD

STRAFFORD Bow Lake

Requested Action:

Expand an existing primary structure located within the primary building setback (the "Setback"), on property with approximately 170 ft of frontage on Bow Lake in Strafford.

Inspection Date: 06/21/2007 by Thomas Gilbert

APPROVE CSPA WAIVER:

Expand an existing primary structure located within the primary building setback (the "Setback"), on property with approximately 170 ft of frontage on Bow Lake in Strafford.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be conducted in accordance with building plans, stormwater control plans, and landscaping plans by CLD Consulting Engineers dated June 29, 2007 and received by the Department of Environmental Services ("DES") on July 2, 2007.
2. This Waiver shall not be effective unless and until it has been recorded at the Merrimack County Registry of Deeds and a copy of the recorded document is sent to DES by certified mail, return receipt requested.
3. Neither the Owner nor any subsequent owner shall construct, expand, install or otherwise create any impervious surface, other than those shown on the plans entitled "Grading & Erosion Control Plan" within the Setback.
4. This Waiver shall run with the land and be binding upon the current Owner and all subsequent owners of the Subject Property.
5. The authorization provided herein is contingent upon the restriction of use within the Setback described above in condition 3. This authorization shall become null and void in the event the Owner or any subsequent owners violate condition 3. In the event condition 3 is violated, the State may seek appropriate relief including, but not limited to, removal of the structure authorized herein.

6. Unnecessary removal of vegetation within the shoreland during construction shall be prohibited.
7. All planted vegetation shall be native and consistent with surrounding natural vegetation on the property and surrounding properties. Planting invasive or exotic species is strictly prohibited.
8. All proposed vegetation shall be planted by July 1, 2008. Plantings shall have a 90% survival success rate by October 31, 2008. An initial monitoring report shall be submitted to DES by November 15, 2008 documenting the success of the plantings. In the event that construction is delayed, the Applicant shall submit a written request to DES to plant the proposed vegetation at a later date.
9. There shall be no substitutions made for the plant species specified on the approved plan without prior written approval from DES.
10. The Applicant shall install the proposed septic system within 2 months of completion of the proposed primary structure.
11. The contractor/owner responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
12. Appropriate siltation, erosion, and turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
13. A copy of this recorded Waiver shall be posted on site during construction in a prominent location visible to inspecting personnel.
14. This Waiver does not exempt the owner from obtaining any other necessary local, state or federal permits or approvals.
15. The owner shall maintain compliance with all other applicable requirements of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B.
16. DES and the Town of Newbury, pursuant to RSA 483-B:8, III, shall have the right to enforce the terms and conditions of this Waiver, including collection of their reasonable costs and attorneys' fees in bringing any such action.

With Findings:

1. The existing structure, as identified on the Town of Newbury Tax Map 006 Lot 072, is located within the 50-ft Setback to public waters and, therefore, fails to conform to the setback restriction set forth in RSA 483-B:9, II, of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act ("CSPA").
2. The existing structure is located approximately 19.5 ft from the reference line, has a ridgeline height of approximately 30.4 ft and a footprint of approximately 1,180 sq ft located lakeward of the Setback, providing approximately 1,431 sq ft of living space within the Setback.
3. The proposed structure shall be located approximately 21 ft from the reference line, have a ridgeline height of approximately 31 ft and a footprint of approximately 1,180 sq ft located lakeward of the Setback, providing approximately 1,776 sq ft of living space within the Setback.
4. In accordance with RSA 483-B:11, II, the commissioner shall review proposals which are more nearly conforming than the existing structures, and may waive some of the standards specified in RSA 483-B:9, so long as there is at least the same degree of protection provided to the public waters and the proposal is "more nearly conforming." More nearly conforming "means a proposal for significant changes to the location or size of the existing structures that bring the structures into greater conformity, or a proposal for changes to other aspects of the property, including but not limited to stormwater management, wastewater treatment or traffic volume or flow, or both types of proposal which significantly improve wildlife habitat or resource protection."
5. The Applicant has proposed to install a new septic system.
6. The Applicant has proposed to plant native vegetation including Swamp Azalea, Lilac, Rhododendron, Mountain Laurel, Oak, Juniper and Low Bush Blueberry around the proposed structure and in disturbed areas.
7. The Applicant proposes to install stormwater controls consisting of a 2 ft wide by 1-2 ft deep stone buffer around the proposed structure for stormwater infiltration and level spreaders on the north and south sides of the proposed structure to evenly disperse stormwater being directed to these areas.
8. The Applicant has proposed to reduce the allowable construction envelope along the shoreline so the existing woodland buffer will not be disturbed.
9. The Applicant's proposed project will not increase the amount of impervious surface within the Setback, will increase the setback of the primary structure from the reference line, improve stormwater management and includes native plantings.
10. Based on this information, the Department has determined that the Applicant's proposal is more nearly conforming with RSA 483-B, the CSPA, and provides at least the same degree of protection to the public waters, and, therefore, meets the requirements of RSA 483-B:11, II.

**2007-01157 HEINSTROM, GARY
SANBORNTON Hermit Lake**

Requested Action:

Variance to RSA 483-B:9,V(b)(2)(A)(i) for installation of a new septic system 88 ft from the reference line.

Inspection Date: 07/31/2007 by Thomas Gilbert

APPROVE CSPA VARIANCE:

Variance to RSA 483-B:9,V(b)(2)(A)(i) for installation of a new septic system 88 ft from the reference line.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be conducted in accordance with plans by Land Technical Service Corp., dated February 2007 and received by the department on March 19, 2007.
2. This variance shall not be effective until it has been recorded at the appropriate county registry of deeds office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered approval shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau by certified mail, return receipt requested, prior to construction.
3. A copy of this variance shall be posted in a prominent location on site during construction, visible to inspecting personnel.
4. This variance does not convey a property right, nor authorize any injury to property of others, nor invasion of rights of others.
5. This variance is contingent upon approval of the septic system by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
6. This variance does not exempt the owner from obtaining any other necessary local, state or federal permits or approvals.
7. The owner shall maintain compliance with all other requirements of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B.

With Findings:

1. The septic system is proposed to be installed within the septic setback to Lake Wentworth in Wolfeboro and therefore fails to conform to a minimum standard set forth in RSA 483-B:9, V of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act.
2. In accordance with RSA 483-B:9, V(g), "the commissioner shall have the authority to grant variances from the minimum standards of this section. Such authority shall be exercised subject to the criteria which govern the grant of a variance by a zoning board of adjustment under RSA 674:33, I(b)."
3. The septic setback would prohibit the property owner from replacing the existing septic holding tank with a new improved septic system.
4. The installation of a new improved septic system will better protect the public waterbody and therefore be consistent with the intent of the statute.
5. The proposed project will not adversely affect the public or private rights of others.
6. The applicant has proposed to locate the proposed structure 1.7 feet further back from the reference line, bringing it to 50.1 feet from the reference line, outside the primary building setback.
7. Granting the variance will be consistent with the public interest as the existing septic system is outdated and a potential health hazard.
8. Granting the variance will do substantial justice by allowing the property owner to maintain and enjoy a significant property right while upholding the spirit of the CSPA and preserving the private rights and interests of the public.

PERMIT BY NOTIFICATION

**2007-01684 LIU, JEAN
HANOVER Unnamed Pond**

Requested Action:

Maintenance dredge 5000 sq. ft. of a man-made pond and create a small, 150 sq. ft. beach and convert 750 sq. ft. of hydric soils to lawn.

PBN IS COMPLETE:

Maintenance dredge approximately 4,950 sq. ft. of a man-made pond to pre-approved depths and contours.

With Findings:

1. This is a Permit By Notification project as per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 506.01(a)(3) and as per Minimum Impact Rule 303.04(k).
2. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
3. Request for more information letter sent on July 31, 2007. Information requested was received on August 16, 2007. Technical review of the submitted materials and a search of prior permits indicate that the file is complete for processing on August 16, 2007.
4. The applicant demonstrated that the project has received prior approval for the existing pond and that the proposed work will not change the character and scope of the existing pond.
5. Based on recent information provided, the applicant has further minimized the project scope from the initial submission by removing a proposal to impact approximately 150 sq. ft. of wetlands/surface waters associated with the placement of sand for a small beach.

**2007-01685 MOUNT SUNAPEE RESORT, JAY GAMBLE G.M.
NEWBURY Unnamed Pond**

Requested Action:

Maintenance dredge 10,300 square feet an existing pond.

PBN IS COMPLETE:

Maintenance dredge 10,300 square feet an existing pond.

With Findings:

1. This project meets the criteria of NH Administrative Rule Env-Wt 506.01(a)(3), maintenance dredging that meets the criteria in Env-Wt 303.04(k).
2. The Conservation Commission signed the PBN waiving their right to intervene pursuant to RSA 482-A:3:11.
3. The pond was constructed by the previous owner; construction date is estimated between 1949 and 1953.
4. No comments were submitted from the NHFG Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program or the Natural Heritage Bureau.

**2007-01953 MURLEY, ROBERT
TUFTONBORO Unnamed Wetland**

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill a total of 40 square feet to replace an existing 10-inch x 17.5 culvert in kind and install a second 10-inch x 17.5 foot culvert in an existing driveway.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill a total of 40 square feet to replace an existing 10-inch x 17.5 culvert in kind and install a second 10-inch x 17.5 foot culvert in an existing driveway.

